arXiv:math/0508165v1 [math.FA] 9 Aug 2005

Beurling-Pollard type theorems

Victor Shulman and *Lyudmila Turowska*

Abstract

We establish a version of the Beurling-Pollard theorem for operator synthesis and apply it to derive some results on linear operator equations and to prove a Beurling-Pollard type theorem for Varopoulos tensor algebras. Additionally we establish a Beurling-Pollard theorem for weighted Fourier algebras and use it to obtain ascent estimates for operators that are functions of generalized scalar operators.

1 Introduction.

The Beurling - Pollard Theorem is the result (or a group of results, see [B, Po, Ka, Ki, W]) stating that a function in the Fourier algebra of a locally compact Abelian group admits the spectral synthesis if it is sufficiently "smooth", or (and) its null set is sufficiently thin. Varopoulos [V] obtained a result of this kind for the tensor algebras $C(X)\hat{\otimes}C(Y)$.

Recall that by spectral synthesis in a commutative semisimple regular Banach algebra (or more generally, in a normed space of functions) one understands a possibility to approximate functions equal to zero on some set by functions equal to zero on a neighborhood of this set.

Our first aim is to obtain a version of Beurling - Pollard theorem for operator synthesis. The latter means a synthesis in the special space of functions on the product of two measure spaces: the space of integral kernels of nuclear operators between L^2-spaces. It should be noted that the measure spaces are not supplied with topologies, but their product carries a natural *pseudo-topology* and the "neighborhoods" are taken with respect to it. The importance of the operator synthesis for the theory of operator algebras and their invariant subspaces was discovered by Arveson [A].

We obtain the operator synthesis B-P theorem in Section 2. Then in Section 3 we apply it to the linear operator equations with normal coefficients. This allows us to obtain easily some results in [ShT2] and extend them to more general situations. More specifically, the main result of Section 2 gives an estimation of ascent for general "normal" multiplication operators via the Hausdorff dimension of one of the coefficient families. Moreover the estimates of the ascent are given in their strong form: as the statement on coincidence of the root space of an operator with the kernel of some of its power.

It should be noted that the estimation of the ascent of linear multiplication operators is very important for the theory of linear operator equations (see for example [ShT2]). Moreover the classical forms of the spectral synthesis problems in group algebras can be reformulated in terms of the ascent of multiplication operators on the dual spaces of the algebras.

In Section 4, using established in [ShT1] connection between operator synthesis and spectral synthesis in Varopoulos algebras, we obtain a strengthened version of the B-P

---

[0] 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47L05 (Primary), 47A62, 47B10, 47B47, 43A45 (Secondary)

theorem of Varopoulos [V] and show that from this form of Varopoulos's theorem one can easily deduce the classical B-P theorem for Fourier algebras.

In Section 5 we prove a B-P theorem for weighted Fourier algebras $A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$ on n-dimensional tori. In this theorem the conditions that provide synthesis for a function $f \in A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$, depend on *balanced Hausdorff measure* (the notion introduced in [AK]) of the null set of f.

In the last section we apply the results of Section 5 to obtain estimates of the ascent for operators that are expressed as functions of commuting generalized scalar operators. As a special case this gives us the bounds for ascent of an elementary operators $x \to \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x b_i$ obtained previously in [AK].

We are indebted to Milos Arsenovic and Dragolyub Keckic for sending us a preprint of their very interesting paper [AK].

2 The Beurling-Pollard Theorem for operator synthesis.

First we recall some definitions and facts from [A, ShT1, ShT2]. Let (X,μ), (Y,ν) be standard measure spaces with σ-finite measures. We say that a measurable subset M of $X \times Y$ is *marginally null* if $M \subset (X_1 \times Y) \cup (X \times Y_1)$, where $\mu(X_1) = \nu(Y_1) = 0$. If the symmetric difference of subsets M, N is marginally null we say that M, N are marginally equivalent and write $M \simeq N$. Following [EKSh] we define an ω-topology on $X \times Y$ such that the ω-open (pseudo-open) sets are, modulo marginally null sets, countable unions of measurable rectangles, i.e. the sets $\alpha \times \beta$ with measurable α, β. The complements to ω-open sets are called ω-closed or pseudo-closed sets.

Let $\Gamma(X,Y) = L_2(X,\mu)\hat{\otimes}L_2(Y,\nu)$, the projective tensor product of L_2-spaces. Each $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y)$ can be identified with a function $\Psi : X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}$ which admits a representation

$$\Psi(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) g_n(y), \tag{1}$$

such that $f_n \in L_2(X,\mu)$, $g_n \in L_2(Y,\nu)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f_n||_{L_2} \cdot ||g_n||_{L_2} < \infty$. Such a function is defined marginally almost everywhere (that is uniquely up to a marginally null set). Moreover,

$$||\Psi||_\Gamma = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f_n||_{L_2} \cdot ||g_n||_{L_2},$$

where infimum is taken over all representations (1) of Ψ.

By [EKSh], each function $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y)$ is pseudo-continuous, i.e. the preimage of any open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ is pseudo-open. We say that Ψ vanishes on $E \subset X \times Y$ if $F\chi_E = 0$ m.a.e. where χ_E is the characteristic function of E. For $\mathcal{F} \subset \Gamma(X,Y)$, the null set, null $\mathcal{F}$, is defined to be the largest, up to a marginally null set, pseudo-closed set such that each function $F \in \mathcal{F}$ vanishes on it. For a pseudo-closed set E, set

$$\Phi(E) = \{\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y) : \Psi \text{ vanishes on } E\},$$

$$\Phi_0(E) = \overline{\{\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y) : \Psi \text{ vanishes on a pseudo-nbhd of } E\}}.$$

Then $\Phi(E)$ and $\Phi_0(E)$ are closed subspaces of $\Gamma(X,Y)$, invariant under multiplication by $L_\infty(X,\mu)$ and $L_\infty(Y,\nu)$ functions and such that null $\Phi(E) =$ null $\Phi_0(E) = E$. Moreover, if

$A \subset \Gamma(X,Y)$ is another invariant closed subspace such that null $A = E$ then $\Phi_0(E) \subset A \subset \Phi(E)$ ([ShT1, Theorem 2.1]).

A subset $E \subset X \times Y$ is called *a set of operator synthesis* (or *an operator synthetic set*) (with respect to (μ,ν)) if $\Phi(E) = \Phi_0(E)$.

The space $\Gamma(X,Y)$ is predual to the space of bounded operators $B(H_1,H_2)$ from $H_1 = L_2(X,\mu)$ to $H_2 = L_2(Y,\nu)$. The duality is given by

$$\langle X, \Psi \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X f_n, \bar{g}_n),$$

where $X \in B(H_1,H_2)$ and $\Psi(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) g_n(y)$. It can be said that $\Gamma(X,Y)$ is the space of integral kernels of nuclear operators.

Let $P(U)$ and $Q(V)$ denote the multiplication operators by the characteristic functions of $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$. We say that $T \in B(H_1,H_2)$ is *supported* in $E \subset X \times Y$ (or E supports T) if $Q(V)TP(U) = 0$ for each measurable sets $U \subset X$, $V \subset Y$ such that $(U \times V) \cap E \simeq \emptyset$. Then there exists the smallest (up to a marginally null set) pseudo-closed set, supp T, which supports T. More generally, for any subset $\mathfrak{M} \subset B(H_1,H_2)$ there is the smallest pseudo-closed set, supp $\mathfrak{M}$, which supports all operators in $\mathfrak{M}$ (see [ShT1]).

For any pseudo-closed set $E \subset X \times Y$, the set $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E)$ of all operators T, supported in E, has support E. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E)$ is a $\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$-bimodule, where $\mathcal{D}_1$, $\mathcal{D}_2$ are the algebras of multiplications by functions in $L_\infty(X,\mu)$ and $L_\infty(Y,\nu)$ respectively. Clearly $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E)$ is the largest σ-closed bimodule with support equal to E. There is also the smallest σ-closed bimodule $\mathfrak{M}_{min}(E) \subset B(H_1,H_2)$ with support equal to E ([A]), and moreover

$$\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E) = \Phi_0(E)^\perp, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{min}(E) = \Phi(E)^\perp$$

(see [ShT1]). So a pseudo-closed set $E \subset X \times Y$ is operator synthetic iff one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E) = \mathfrak{M}_{min}(E)$.
- $\langle X, \Psi \rangle = 0$ for any $X \in B(H_1,H_2)$ and $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y)$ with supp $X \subset E \subset$ null Ψ.

We will also consider the space $V_\infty(X,Y) = L^\infty(X,\mu) \hat{\otimes} L^\infty(Y,\nu)$ of all (marginal equivalence classes of) functions $\Psi(x,y)$ that can be written in the form (1) with $f_n \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, $g_n \in L^\infty(Y,\nu)$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f_n||_\infty^2 \le C, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||g_n||_\infty^2 \le C.$$

The least possible C here is the norm of Ψ in $V_\infty(X,Y)$. We have that $V_\infty(X,Y)\Gamma(X,Y) \subset \Gamma(X,Y)$.

The space $B(H_1,H_2)$ is a $V_\infty(X,Y)$-module: for $F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \hat{\otimes} g_n \in V^\infty(X,Y)$ and $X \in B(H_1,H_2)$, we set

$$F \cdot T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{g_n} X M_{f_n},$$

where M_f is the multiplication operator by f. Here the sum converges in the norm operator topology.

We denote by Δ_F the operator $X \mapsto F \cdot X$ on $B(H_1, H_2)$. Let us say that $F \in V_\infty(X,Y)$ *admits operator synthesis* (is *operator synthetic*) with respect to (μ, ν) if

$$\ker \Delta_F = \mathfrak{M}_{max}(\text{null } F).$$

Observe that the inclusion $\ker \Delta_F \subset \mathfrak{M}_{max}(\text{null } F)$ holds for any $F \in V_\infty(X,Y)$, see [ShT2, Lemma 4.1].

Note that if measures μ, ν are finite then $V_\infty(X,Y) \subset \Gamma(X,Y)$. In this case the notion agree with the one in spectral synthesis: $F \in V_\infty(X,Y)$ admits operator synthesis with respect to (μ, ν) iff $F \in \Phi_0(\text{null } F)$, i.e.

$$\langle T, F\rangle = 0 \text{ whenever } \operatorname{supp} T \subset \text{null } F.$$

Indeed the equality $\langle T, F\rangle = \langle F \cdot T, 1\rangle$ shows that any operator synthetic element F of $V_\infty(X,Y)$ belongs to $\Phi_0(\text{null } F)$. Conversely, if $F \in \Phi_0(\text{null } F) \cap V_\infty(X,Y)$ then $F\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y) \cap \Phi_0(\text{null F})$ for any $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y)$, and $\langle F \cdot T, \Psi\rangle = \langle T, F\Psi\rangle = 0$ whenever $\operatorname{supp} T \subset$ null F, implying $F \cdot T = 0$.

From now on we will assume that X, Y are compacts, X is a metric space with metric d and the Hausdorff dimension $w < \infty$, and μ, ν are σ-finite regular Borel measures on X and Y respectively. For $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$ we denote by $d(x,A)$ the distance between A and x, i.e. $d(x,A) = \inf_{y\in A} d(x,y)$; we assume $d(x, \emptyset) = \infty$.

Lemma 1. *Let $E \subset X \times Y$ such that E^c is a countable union of Borel rectangles. Then E is a union of countable number of compact sets and a marginally null set.*

Proof. Let $E^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \times B_n$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist open sets $A_n^\varepsilon \supset A_n$ and $B_n^\varepsilon \supset B_n$ such that $\mu(A_n^\varepsilon \setminus A_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ and $\nu(B_n^\varepsilon \setminus B_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ and thus $(A_n^\varepsilon \times B_n^\varepsilon) \setminus (A_n \times B_n) \subset X_\varepsilon^n \times Y \cup X \times Y_\varepsilon^n$ with $\mu(X_\varepsilon^n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ and $\nu(Y_\varepsilon^n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ ($X_\varepsilon^n = A_n^\varepsilon \setminus A_n$, $Y_\varepsilon^n = B_n^\varepsilon \setminus B_n$).

Set $E_\varepsilon = (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^\varepsilon \times B_n^\varepsilon)^c$. Then E_ε is compact, $E_\varepsilon \subset E$ and $E \setminus E_\varepsilon \subset (X_\varepsilon \times Y) \cup (X \times Y_\varepsilon)$, where $X_\varepsilon = \bigcup_n X_\varepsilon^n$, $Y_\varepsilon = \bigcup_n Y_\varepsilon^n$. Take a decreasing to zero sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$. Choosing $A_n^{\varepsilon_k}$, $B_n^{\varepsilon_k}$ so that $A_n^{\varepsilon_k} \supset A_n^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}$, $B_n^{\varepsilon_k} \supset B_n^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}$ we obtain $X_{\varepsilon_k} \supset X_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}$, $Y_{\varepsilon_k} \supset Y_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}$ and

$$E \setminus (\bigcup_k E_{\varepsilon_k}) \subset (\bigcap_k X_{\varepsilon_k} \times Y) \bigcup (X \times \bigcap_k Y_{\varepsilon_k}).$$

As $\mu(X_{\varepsilon_k}) < \varepsilon_k$ and $\nu(Y_{\varepsilon_k}) < \varepsilon_k$, $E \setminus (\bigcup_k E_{\varepsilon_k})$ is marginally null. □

For a subset E of $X \times Y$ and $y \in Y$, let

$$E^y = \{x \in X : (x,y) \in E\},$$

the X*-section* of E, defined by y. Then clearly, $d(x, E^y) = \inf\{d(x,x_1) : (x_1, y) \in E\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a pseudo-closed set and let $F \in V_\infty(X,Y)$ satisfy the condition*

$$|F(x,y)| \le C d(x, E^y)^\rho, \quad \textit{for some } C > 0. \tag{2}$$

Assume that $\rho \ge w/2$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{max}(E) \subset \ker \Delta_F$. In particular, if $E =$ null F then F obeys operator synthesis.

Proof. Our first step is to prove that $\Delta_F(T)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for any $T \in \mathfrak{M}_{max}(E)$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\mathcal{E} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n(\varepsilon)})$ be a family of pairwise disjoint closed subsets of X such that diam $\alpha_k \leq \varepsilon$ and $\mu(X \setminus \cup\alpha_i) < \varepsilon$, and let $e_k = \chi_{\alpha_k}/||\chi_{\alpha_k}||$, where χ_{α_k}, the characteristic function of the set α_k.

Denote by $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ the projection onto the (finite-dimensional) subspace spanned by all e_k. Then $TP_{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $TP_{\mathcal{E}} \to^s T$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\langle F \cdot T, \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \langle TP_{\mathcal{E}}, F\Psi \rangle$ for each $\Psi \in \Gamma(X, Y)$.

Note that the condition (2) will be preserved if we delete from X and Y some subsets. Moreover, if for a given $\delta > 0$, the sets $X_\delta \subset X$, $Y_\delta \subset Y$ are such that $\mu(X_\delta) < \delta$, $\nu(Y_\delta) < \delta$ then for $T_\delta := Q(Y \setminus Y_\delta)TP(X \setminus Y_\delta)$ we have supp $T_\delta \subset E \cap (X \setminus X_\delta \times (Y \setminus Y_\delta)$, $T_\delta \to T$ strongly as $\delta \to 0$. Therefore if we prove that $\Delta_F(T_\delta) = 0$ for each $\delta > 0$, then it will imply that $\Delta_F(T) = 0$. Taking this into account we may delete from X and Y some open subsets of small measures and assume (since E is a pseudo-closed set) that E^c is a countable union of Borel rectangles. Moreover, by Lemma 1, we may repeat this trick and suppose that $E = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ for some compact sets E_n.

For a closed subset $\alpha \subset X$, let $\beta(\alpha) = \pi_2(E \cap (\alpha \times Y))$, where π_2 is the projection on the second coordinate. Then $\beta(\alpha)$ is Borel as a countable union of compact sets. As T is supported in E, $TP(\alpha) = Q(\beta(\alpha))TP(\alpha)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle TP_{\mathcal{E}}, F\Psi\rangle| &= |\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} Q(\beta(\alpha_i))TP_{\mathcal{E}}P(\alpha_i), F\Psi\rangle| \\
&= |\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} \langle TP_{\mathcal{E}}P(\alpha_i), \chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)\Psi(s,t)\rangle| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} ||TP_{\mathcal{E}}P(\alpha_i)||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)\Psi(s,t)||_{L_2} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} ||Te_i|| \cdot ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)||_{L_\infty} ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\Psi(s,t)||_{L_2} \\
&\leq ||T|| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)||_{L_\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\Psi(s,t)||_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq ||T|| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} ||\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)||_{L_\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2} ||\Psi||_{L_2} \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing, for each $t \in \beta(\alpha_i)$, a point $s(t) \in \alpha_i$, one has

$$|\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)\chi_{\beta(\alpha_i)}(t)F(s,t)| \leq Cd(s, E^t)^\rho \leq Cd(s, s(t))^\rho \leq C(\text{diam } \alpha_i)^\rho \quad \text{a.e.} \qquad (4)$$

As the Hausdorff dimension of X is w, we can choose α_i in such a way that $\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)}(\text{diam } \alpha_i)^w \leq K$, for some constant K which does not depend on ε. Moreover, as $w \leq 2\rho$, we obtain from (3) and (4)

$$|\langle TP_{\mathcal{E}}, F\Psi\rangle| \leq ||T|| \cdot ||\Psi||_{L_2} C^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} (\text{diam } \alpha_i)^w \right)^{1/2} \leq (CK)^{1/2} ||T|| \cdot ||\Psi||_{L_2}$$

so that

$$||F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}})||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \leq (CK)^{1/2}||T|| \tag{5}$$

Since $TP_{\mathcal{E}} \to^s T$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have also

$$|\langle F \cdot T, \Psi\rangle| \leq D||T||||\Psi||_2 \tag{6}$$

for any $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y) \subset L_2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ and $D = (CK)^{1/2}$. As $\Gamma(X,Y)$ is dense in $L_2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$, this implies $F \cdot T \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ with

$$||F \cdot T||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \leq D||T||. \tag{7}$$

Now using (5) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||(F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}} - F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}})||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} &\leq ||(F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}}||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} + ||F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}})||_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \\ &\leq D||T|| + D||T|| = 2D||T||. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle (F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}} - F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}}), \Psi\rangle \to 0$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, for any $\Psi \in \Gamma(X,Y)$, we have that $(F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}} - F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}}) \to 0$ weakly in $\mathfrak{S}_2$. Then

$$F \cdot T = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}(F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}((F \cdot T)P_{\mathcal{E}} - F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}}) + F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}})) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F \cdot (TP_{\mathcal{E}}) \in \overline{\mathrm{Im}\Delta_F|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}}^{w}.$$

Thus $\Delta_F(T) \in \ker \Delta_F|_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \cap \overline{\mathrm{Im}\Delta_F|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}} = \{0\}$. $\square$

3 Applications to linear operator equations in Hilbert space.

Let $\mathbb{A} = \{A_k\}_{k \in K}$ be a commutative family of normal operators with $\sum_{k \in K} ||A_i||^2 < \infty$. By $\sigma(\mathbb{A})$ we denote the maximal ideal space of the unital C^*-algebra generated by $\mathbb{A}$. To any $t \in \sigma(\mathbb{A})$ we associate a sequence $\lambda(t) = (t(A_1), t(A_2), \ldots) \in l_2$; the map $t \mapsto \lambda(t)$ is continuous and identifies $\sigma(\mathbb{A})$ with a compact subset of l_2.

We say that the *essential dimension*, ess-dim, of a $\mathbb{A}$ does not exceed $r > 0$ if there is a subset D of $\sigma(\mathbb{A})$ such that $E_{\mathbb{A}}(\sigma(\mathbb{A}) \setminus D) = 0$ and the Hausdorff dimension $\dim(D) \leq r$. In particular, if all A_k are Lipschitz functions of one Hermitian (normal) operator with the Lipschitz constants C_k and $\sum_{k \in K} C_k^2 < \infty$ then ess-dim$(\mathbb{A}) \leq 1$ (respectively 2). If $\mathbb{A}$ is diagonalizable then ess-dim$(\mathbb{A}) = 0$.

Let for a bounded operator $\Delta : B(H_1, H_2) \to B(H_1, H_2)$, $\mathcal{E}_\Delta(0)$ be the root space of Δ:

$$\mathcal{E}_\Delta(0) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : ||\Delta^n(T)||^{1/n} \to 0, n \to \infty\}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathbb{A} = \{A_k\}_{k \in K}$, $\mathbb{B} = \{B_k\}_{k \in K}$ be two commutative families of normal operators on H_1 and H_2 satisfying $\sum_{k \in K} ||A_k||^2 < \infty$, $\sum_{k \in K} ||B_k||^2 < \infty$. Suppose that we are given continuous functions f_j, g_j ($j \in J$) on $\sigma(\mathbb{A})$ and $\sigma(\mathbb{B})$ respectively and let*

$$\Delta(X) = \sum_{j \in J} g_j(\mathbb{B}) X f_j(\mathbb{A})$$

for $X \in B(H_1, H_2)$. If ess-dim $\mathbb{A} = w$ and $F(x,y) = \sum_{j \in J} f_j(x) g_j(y) \in V_\infty(\sigma(\mathbb{A}), \sigma(\mathbb{B}))$ satisfies (2) with $E = null\ F$ and $\rho \geq w/2$ then $\ker \Delta = \mathcal{E}_\Delta(0)$.

Proof. Assume first that $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ have cyclic vectors. Then all A_k and B_k can be realized on $L_2(\sigma(\mathbb{A}),\mu)$ and $L_2(\sigma(\mathbb{B}),\nu)$ as multiplication operators by the coordinate functions and $\Delta(X) = F\cdot X$, where $F(x,y) = \sum_{j\in J} f_j(x)g_j(y)$, $(x,y)\in\sigma(\mathbb{A})\times\sigma(\mathbb{B})$. By Theorem 1 and [ShT2, Proposition 4.7], we obtain $\ker\Delta_F = \mathfrak{M}_{max}(\text{null } F) = \mathcal{E}_{\Delta_F}(0)$.

In the absence of a cyclic vector, decompose H_1 and H_2 into a direct sum of subspaces $H_1 = \oplus_{j=1}^{\infty} H_j^1$, $H_2 = \oplus_{j=1}^{\infty} H_j^2$, where each H_j^1 and H_j^2 is invariant with respect to $\{A_k, A_k^*\}_{k\in K}$ and $\{B_k, B_k^*\}_{k\in K}$ respectively and $\{A_k|_{H_j^1}\}_{k\in K}$, $\{B_k|_{H_j^2}\}$ has cyclic vectors. Then each $X\in B(H_1,H_2)$ can be written as a block-operator $X = (X_{ij})$, where $X_{ij} = P_{H_j^2}X|_{H_i^1}$ and $P_{H_j^2}$ is the projection onto H_j^2, and $\Delta(X) = (\Delta_{ij}(X_{ij}))$, where Δ_{ij} is the restriction of Δ to $B(H_i^1, H_j^2)$. Now if $X\in\mathcal{E}_\Delta(0)$, $X_{ij}\in\mathcal{E}_{\Delta_{ij}}(0) = \ker\Delta_{ij}$ and hence $X\in\ker\Delta$. ☐

Corollary 2. *If, in notation of Corollary 1, the function $F(x,y)$ satisfies (2) with some $\rho > 0$ then $\mathcal{E}_\Delta(0) = \ker\Delta^k$ where $k = (w/2\rho]$, the least integer which is not less than $w/2\rho$.*

Proof. It suffices to note that the operator Δ^k corresponds to the function $F(x,y)^k$ and to apply Corollary 1. ☐

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathbb{A} = \{A_k\}_{k\in K}$, $\mathbb{B} = \{B_k\}_{k\in K}$ be two commutative families of normal operators on H_1 and H_2 satisfying $\sum_{k\in K}||A_k||^2 < \infty$, $\sum_{k\in K}||B_k||^2 < \infty$. Let*

$$\Delta(X) = \sum_{k\in K} B_k X A_k \text{ for } X\in B(H_1,H_2).$$

If ess-dim $\mathbb{A}\le 2n$ then $\ker\Delta^n = \mathcal{E}_\Delta(0)$.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2 with $f_k(x) = x_k$, $g_k(y) = y_k$, for all $k\in K = J$. ☐

This result extends [ShT2, Theorem 10.3] (where n was actually equal 1) and simultaneously Corollary 10.4 of [ShT2] where the restriction card $K < \infty$ was present and essential for the proof.

4 Applications to spectral synthesis in Varopoulos and Fourier algebras.

To formulate further results we have to recall the notion of spectral synthesis in harmonic analysis.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital semi-simple regular commutative Banach algebra with spectrum X, which is thus a compact Hausdorff space. We will identify $\mathcal{A}$ with a subalgebra of the algebra $C(X)$ of continuous complex-valued functions on X in our notation. If $E\subseteq X$ is closed, let

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(E) = \{a\in\mathcal{A} : a(x) = 0 \text{ for } x\in E\},$$
$$I_{\mathcal{A}}^0(E) = \{a\in\mathcal{A} : a(x) = 0 \text{ in a nbhd of } E\}$$

and

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(E) = \overline{I_{\mathcal{A}}^0(E)}.$$

One says that $a\in A$ *admits spectral synthesis* for $\mathcal{A}$ if $a\in J_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{null } f)$.

Let A^* be the dual of A. For $\tau \in A^*$ and $a \in A$, define $a\tau$ in A^* by $a\tau(b) = \tau(ab)$, and define the support of τ by

$$\mathrm{supp}(\tau) = \{x \in X_A : a\tau \neq 0 \text{ whenever } a(x) \neq 0\}.$$

In other words $\mathrm{supp}(\tau)$ consists of all $x \in X_A$ such that for any neighborhood U of x there exists $a \in A$ for which $\mathrm{supp}(a) \subset U$ and $\tau(a) \neq 0$. Then a admits spectral synthesis iff

$$\tau(a) = 0 \text{ for each } \tau \text{ with } \mathrm{supp}(\tau) \subset \mathrm{null}(a).$$

The Banach algebras we consider in this section are the Fourier algebras $A(\mathbb{T}^n)$ where $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the n-dimensional torus, and the projective tensor product $V(X,Y) = C(X)\hat{\otimes}C(Y)$, where X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces.

Note that $V(X,Y)$ (the Varopoulos algebra) consists of all functions $F \in C(X \times Y)$ which admit a representation

$$F(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)g_i(y), \tag{8}$$

where $f_i \in C(X)$, $g_i \in C(Y)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||f_i||_{C(X)}||g_i||_{C(Y)} < \infty.$$

$V(X,Y)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication and the norm

$$||F||_V = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||f_i||_{C(X)}||g_i||_{C(Y)},$$

where inf is taken over all representations of F in the form $\sum f_i(x)g_i(y)$ (shortly, $\sum f_i \otimes g_i$) satisfying the above conditions (see [V]). It is known that $V(X,Y)$ is semi-simple, regular and its spectra is naturally identified with $X \times Y$.

As above we assume that X is a metric space of Hausdorff dimension w.

Theorem 2. *Let $F \in V(X,Y)$ and a closed subset E of $X \times Y$ satisfy*

$$|F(x,y)| \leq Cd(x,E^y)^\rho \tag{9}$$

for all $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ and some $C > 0$. If $\rho \geq w/2$ then $F \in J_V(E)$. In particular, if (9) holds for $E =$ null F then F admits spectral synthesis in $V(X,Y)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, for any choice of finite measures μ and ν, $F \cdot T = 0$ if $T \in B(L_2(X,\mu), L_2(Y,\nu))$ such that supp $T \subset E$. The arguments in the proof of [ShT2, Proposition 4.5] show that $F \in J_V(E)$. □

Remark 1. For $w = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $X = \mathbb{T}^n$, $Y = \mathbb{T}^m$, and $\rho > w/2$ the statement of Theorem 2 was proved by Varopoulos [V, Theorem 7.2.2] (using a different method) under a stronger condition on F:

$$|F(x,y)| \leq Cd((x,y),E)^\rho \tag{10}$$

for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and some $C > 0$. Here d is the natural metric on $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m$. He also proved that for any $\rho < n/2$, one can construct a set $E \subset \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and $F \in V(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $F \in I_V(E) \setminus J_V(E)$; $|F(t)| \leq C|t - E|^\rho$.

The case $\rho = n/2$ was left open in [V]. It should be also noted that the condition (9) is less restrictive and more convenient than (10), not only because it does not need any metric on Y. For example, Corollary 4 below could not be deduced from Theorem 2 if in this theorem we had (10) instead of (9). This indicates that Theorem 2 is a natural form of the Beurling - Pollard theorem for Varopoulos algebras.

The next result is the classical Beurling - Pollard Theorem for the Fourier algebras.

Corollary 4. *If a function $F \in A(\mathbb{T}^n)$ satisfies inequality*

$$|f(t)| \leq Cd(t, null\ f)^{n/2} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}^n, \ \text{some } C > 0$$

then f admits spectral synthesis in $A(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. Consider two linear mappings M and P (see [V]):

$$A(\mathbb{T}^n) \to^M V(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{T}^n) \to^P A(\mathbb{T}^n)$$

defined for $f \in A(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $F \in V(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{T}^n)$ by

$$Mf(x, y) = f(x + y), \quad PF(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} F(x - z, z) dz.$$

Then M is an isometry and $P \circ M = Id_{A(\mathbb{T}^n)}$. For a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ let $E^* = \{(x, y) : x + y \in E\}$. Then by [V, Theorem 8.2.1] $M^{-1}J_V(E^*) = J_A(E)$. Thus to prove the statement it remains to show that $Mf \in J_V((\text{null } f)^*)$. This follows from the inequality

$$|Mf(x, y)| = |f(x + y)| \leq Cd(x + y, \text{null } f)^\rho = Cd(x, ((\text{null } f)^*)^y)^\rho$$

and Theorem 2. □

5 Beurling-Pollard type theorem for weighted Fourier algebras.

In what follows we need a modification of Hausdorff dimension proposed in [AK].

Definition 1. *For a compact metric space X, its balanced Hausdorff dimension, $bh(X)$, is the infimum of all positive numbers c that possess the following property:*

there exist positive constants N, $P > 0$ such that for all $\delta > 0$ there exists a finite covering $X \subset \sqcup_{j=1}^m \beta_j$ ($\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \emptyset$) satisfying (i) $\delta/P < diam\ \beta_j < \delta$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and (ii) $\sum_{j=1}^m (diam\ \beta_j)^c \leq N$.

Let X be a compact metric space. For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $N(\epsilon)$ be the smallest number of balls of radius ϵ that cover X. Set

$$mo(X) = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} (-log N(\epsilon)/log \epsilon).$$

This characteristic (*the metric order* of X) was introduced in [PShn].

Proposition 1. $mo(X) = bh(X)$.

Proof. Let $c > 0$. Clearly

$$mo(X) < c \Longrightarrow N(\epsilon) < Const\ \epsilon^{-c} \Longrightarrow mo(X) \leq c. \tag{11}$$

If $bh(X) < c$ then there are sets $\beta_1, ..., \beta_n$ of diameters between ϵ/P and ϵ such that they cover X and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} diam(\beta_i)^c < A$ (= const). Hence $n \leq AP^c/\epsilon^c$. Since any set of diameter $\leq \epsilon$ is contained in a ball of radius $\leq \epsilon$, it follows that $N(\epsilon) \leq (AP^c)/\epsilon^c$. So $mo(X) \leq c$.

Conversely if $mo(X) < c$, then let us take $N(\epsilon)$ balls covering X with radii $\leq \epsilon$. Removing some parts of the balls, we obtain non-intersecting sets β_i of diameter $\leq \epsilon$ that cover X. We can then add to any of them a point sufficiently far from it such that the diameter of each will be ϵ. It will be a balanced covering (with $P = 1$). Now $\sum_i diam(\beta_i)^c = N(\epsilon)\epsilon^c < const$ by (11). ☐

The result shows, in particular, that if $f : X \to Y$ is a surjective Lipschitz map between metric spaces then $bh(Y) \leq bh(X)$.

Another easy consequence of Proposition 1 is the next lemma, proved in [AK, Lemma 2.2] by other method.

Let us denote, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any subset E of a metric space X, by E_ε the ε-neighborhood of E, i.e., $E_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : d(x, E) \leq \varepsilon\}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of balanced Hausdorff dimension $< c$ and let for all $\varepsilon > 0$ E_ε be the open ε-neighborhood of E. Then $m(E_\varepsilon) \leq D\varepsilon^{n-c}$ for some constant $D > 0$.*

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} B_{i,\varepsilon}$ be a covering of E by the smallest number, $N(\varepsilon)$, of balls of radius ε. Clearly, $E_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} B_{i,2\varepsilon}$, where $B_{i,2\varepsilon}$ is the ball with the same centrum as $B_{i,\varepsilon}$ and the radius 2ε. Let S_n denote the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, as $bh(E) = mo(E) < c$, $N(\varepsilon) \leq \text{const}\varepsilon^{-c}$, giving

$$m(E_\varepsilon) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} m(B_{i,2\varepsilon}) = m(S_n)N(\varepsilon)(2\varepsilon)^n \leq \text{const}\varepsilon^{n-c}.$$

☐

Let us for any $\alpha > 0$, denote by $A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$ the algebra of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_k e^{ik\cdot t} \text{ with } ||f||_{A_\alpha} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a_k|(1 + |k|)^\alpha < \infty,$$

where for $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$, $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ we write $k \cdot t = k_1t_1 + \ldots k_nt_n$ and $|k| = (k_1^2 + \ldots + k_n^2)^{1/2}$. Let $PM_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the dual space of $A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ be a closed set of balanced Hausdorff dimension c, and let $f \in I_{A_\alpha}(E)$ be such that $|f(x)| \leq Ad(x, E)^m$ for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and some $A > 0$, $m > 0$. If $m > c/2 + \alpha$ or $m = c/2 + \alpha = n/2 + \alpha$, then $f \in J_{A_\alpha}(E)$.*

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, set

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} (1-|x|^2)^\beta, & |x| \le 1, \\ 0, & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\delta_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\delta(x/\varepsilon)/||\delta_1||_1$ ($||\cdot||_1$ is the $L_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$-norm). Then $||\delta_\varepsilon||_1 = 1$ and we have

$$\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \delta_\varepsilon(t)e^{ikt} \to e^{ik\cdot 0} = 1, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Thus, for $f \in A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $T \in PM_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$,

$$\langle T, f\rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{T}(k)\hat{f}(k)\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}(T * \delta_\varepsilon, f),$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the scalar product in $L_2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Our next step is to estimate $||T * \delta_\varepsilon||_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} ||T * \delta_\varepsilon||_{L_2} &= ||\{\hat{T}(k)\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)\}||_{l_2} \le ||\{\hat{T}(k)/(1+|k|)^\alpha\}||_{l_\infty} ||\{\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)(1+|k|)^\alpha\}||_{l_2} \\ &= ||T||_{PM_\alpha}\Big(\sum_{k:|k|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)|^2(1+|k|)^{2\alpha} + \sum_{k:|k|>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)|^2(1+|k|)^{2\alpha}\Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\sup_{\varepsilon,k}|\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)| = C < \infty$. Hence

$$\sum_{k:|k|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)|^2(1+|k|)^{2\alpha} \le C \sum_{k:|k|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (1+|k|)^{2\alpha} \le D \sum_{|k|=0}^{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]} |k|^{2\alpha}|k|^{n-1} \le D\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+n-1}}\cdot\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{D}{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+n}}$$

for some other constant D.

To estimate the second sum we use the explicit formulas for the Fourier coefficients $\delta_\varepsilon(k)$, given in [1, Theorem 4.15]: $\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k) = C(\beta)|k\varepsilon|^{-n/2-\beta}J_{n/2+\beta}(2\pi|k\varepsilon|)$, where J_ν is the Bessel function and $C(\beta)$ is a constant depending on β.

As $|J_\nu(r)| \le C_\nu r^{-1/2}$ for $r \ge 1$, this gives us that for $\varepsilon|k| > 1$

$$|\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)| \le C(\beta)|k\varepsilon|^{-n/2-1/2-\beta}$$

for some other constant $C(\beta)$. Thus, choosing $\beta > \alpha - 1/2$, we obtain from the following estimation that $\delta_\varepsilon \in A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k:|k|>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)|^2(1+|k|)^{2\alpha} &\le \frac{C(\beta)^2}{\varepsilon^{n+1+2\beta}} \sum_{k:|k|>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |k|^{2\alpha-n-1-2\beta} \\ &\le \frac{C(\beta)^2}{\varepsilon^{n+1+2\beta}} \sum_{|k|=[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]+1}^{\infty} |k|^{2\alpha-n-1-2\beta}|k|^{n-1} \\ &\le \frac{C(\beta)^2}{\varepsilon^{n+1+2\beta}} \int_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}^{\infty} x^{2\alpha-2-2\beta}dx \\ &\le \frac{D}{\varepsilon^{n+1+2\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2\alpha-1-2\beta}} = \frac{D}{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let supp $T \subset E$. Then supp $T * \delta_\varepsilon \subset E_\varepsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle T * \delta_\varepsilon, f\rangle| &\leq ||T * \delta_\varepsilon||_{L_2} \left(\int_{E_\varepsilon} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq ||T||_{PM_\alpha} ||\{\hat{\delta}_\varepsilon(k)(1+|k|)^\alpha\}||_{l_2} \sup_{E_\varepsilon} |f| (m(E_\varepsilon \setminus \text{null } f))^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{D}{\varepsilon^{n/2+\alpha}} ||T||_{PM_\alpha} \sup_{E_\varepsilon} |f| (m(E_\varepsilon \setminus \text{null } f))^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant D.

If $m > \alpha + c/2$, we choose $\gamma > 0$ so that $m > \alpha + c/2 + \gamma$. As E has balanced Hausdorff dimension $c < c + 2\gamma$ then, by Lemma 2, $m(E_\varepsilon \setminus \text{null } f) \leq m(E_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{n-c-2\gamma}$. As $|f(x)| \leq A\ d(E,x)^m$ for any x, $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$, we obtain now

$$|\langle T * \delta_\varepsilon, f\rangle| \leq D \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n/2+\alpha}} \varepsilon^m \varepsilon^{n/2-c/2-\gamma} \leq D\varepsilon^{m-c/2-\alpha-\gamma}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\langle T, f\rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \langle T * \delta_\varepsilon, f\rangle = 0.$$

If $m = c/2 + \alpha = n/2 + \alpha$ we have also

$$|\langle T * \delta_\varepsilon, f\rangle| \leq D \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n/2+\alpha}} \varepsilon^m (m(E_\varepsilon \setminus \text{null } f))^{1/2} = D(m(E_\varepsilon \setminus \text{null } f))^{1/2} \to 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

and $\langle T, f\rangle = 0$. □

Corollary 5. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ be a closed subset of balanced Hausdorff dimension c, and let $f \in I_A(E)$ be such that $|f(x)| \leq Ad(x,E)^m$ for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and some $A > 0$, $m > 0$. If $m > c/2$ or $m = n/2 = c/2$ then $f \in J_A(E)$.*

6 Elementary operators and spectral synthesis

Now we apply the results of Section 5 to the study of ascent of some operators on Banach spaces and, in particular, elementary operators on Banach algebras.

Let B be a semisimple, regular, commutative Banach algebra with unit and let M be a Banach B-module. For any $x \in M$ set

$$\begin{gathered}
ann(x) = \{b \in B \mid b \cdot x = 0\}, \\
Supp(x) = null(ann(x)).
\end{gathered}$$

Then $ann(x)$ is a closed ideal in B and $Supp(x)$ is a closed subset in X_B, the spectrum of B. More generally, for any subset $\mathcal{L} \subset M$ we denote by $Supp(\mathcal{L})$ the smallest closed set $Supp(\mathcal{L})$ such that $Supp(x) \subset Supp(\mathcal{L})$ for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$. We will need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let B be a commutative semisimple regular Banach algebra and let M be a Banach B-module. If $f \in J_B(E)$ then $f \cdot x = 0$ for any $x \in M$ such that $Supp(x) \subset E$.*

Proof. In fact, $f \in J_B(E) \subset J_B(Supp(x)) \subset ann(x)$. □

Let A be a unital (not necessarily commutative) Banach algebra. We say that an element $a \in A$ is a *generalized scalar element* if there are constants C and s such that

$$||e^{ita}|| \leq C(1+|t|)^s, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{12}$$

In this case we say that a has order s.

In particular, we may speak about *generalized scalar operators*. The concept has its origin in the work of Colojoara and Foias (see [CF]).

Let $T = (T_1, ..., T_n)$ be a commutative family of generalized scalar operators on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$. We call by the order of T the number $\alpha = s_1 + ... + s_n$ where s_i are the orders of T_i.

We denote by $\sigma(T)$ the joint spectrum of the family T (it was shown in [MPrR] that all classical definitions of joint spectrum coincide for commutative families of generalized scalar operators). We denote by c the balanced Hausdorff dimension of $\sigma(T)$.

The family T admits an $A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$-calculus:

$$f(T) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(m) \exp(imT)$$

where $mT = \sum m_i T_i$ for $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$.

For a linear operator Δ on $\mathcal{X}$ we will mean by the *ascent*, asc Δ, of Δ the least positive integer such that $\ker \Delta^m = \ker \Delta^{m+1}$.

Theorem 4. *If $g \in A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a Lipschitz function, and $V = g(T)$ then asc $V \leq [c/2+\alpha]+1$.*

Proof. We introduce an $A_\alpha(\mathbb{T}^n)$-module structure on $\mathcal{X}$ setting $f \cdot x = f(T)x$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It is not difficult to see that $Supp(\mathcal{X}) = \tau(T) := \{(\exp(t_1), ..., \exp(t_n)) : (t_1, ..., t_n) \in \sigma(T)\}$. Hence $Supp(x) \subset \tau(T)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let $V^N x = 0$ for some N. Then $g^N \in ann(x)$, $Supp(x) \subset null(g^N) = null(g)$. Thus setting $E = \tau(T) \cap null(g)$ we get that $Supp(x) \subset E$.

Since $bh(E) \leq c$ and $|g^m(t)| \leq C\ d(t, E)^m$ for each m, it follows from Theorem 3 that $g^m \in J(E)$ if $m > c/2 + \alpha$. Applying Lemma 3 we see that $g^m \cdot x = 0$. This means that $V^m x = 0$. □

Let now $a_1,\ a_2, \ldots, a_n$ and $b_1,\ b_2, \ldots, b_n$ be commuting n-tuples of generalized scalar elements of a unital Banach algebra A of orders $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ and $r_1, \ldots, r_n$ Let $s = s_1 + \ldots s_n$ and $r = r_1 + \ldots r_n$. For $a \in A$ we denote by L_a and R_a the operators acting on A via the left and, respectively, the right multiplication by a. Let $T = (L_{a_1}, \ldots L_{a_n}, R_{b_1}, \ldots R_{b_n})$; T is a commuting family of generalized scalar operators and the order of T does not exceed $\alpha = s + r$.

We consider the "elementary" operator $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{a_i} R_{b_i}$ on A.

Corollary 6. *[AK] Assume that the balanced Hausdorff dimension of $\sigma(T)$ is less than or equal to c. Then asc$(\Lambda) \leq [c/2 + \alpha] + 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that the norms of all a_i, b_i are less than $K < \pi$. Then $\Lambda = g(T)$ where $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(t_i)\varphi(t_{n+i})$ and $\varphi(t)$ is a smooth 2π-periodic function such that $\varphi(t) = t$ for $|t| < K$. Hence our statement follows from Theorem 4. □

Acknowledgment

The work was partially written when the first author was visiting Chalmers University of Technology in Göteborg, Sweden. The research was partially supported by a grant from the Swedish Royal Academy of Sciences as a part of the program of cooperation with the former Soviet Union. The second author was also supported by the Swedish Research Council.

References

[A] W.Arveson, Operator algebras and invariant subspaces. Ann. of Math. (2) **100** (1974), 433–532.

[AK] M.Arsenovic, D. Keckic, Elementary operators on Banach algebras and Fourier transform, to appear in Studia Math.

[B] A.Beurling, On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Acta Math., 81(1949), 225-238.

[CF] I.Colojoara, C.Foias, Theory of generalized spectral operators. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 9. Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1968. 232 pp.

[EKSh] J.A. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman, Rank one subspaces of bimodules over maximal abelian selfadjoint algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 157 (1998), no. 2, 554–587.

[Ka] J-P. Kahane, Sur le theoreme de Berling-Pollard, Math. Scand., 21(1967), 71-79.

[Ki] M.Kinukawa, On the spectral synthesis of bounded functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14(1963), 468-471.

[MPrR] A.McIntosh, A.Pryde, W. Ricker, Comparison of joint spectra for certain classes of commuting operators. Studia Math. 88 (1988), no. 1, 23-36.

[Po] H.Pollard, The harmonic analysis of bounded functions, Duke Math.J., 20(1953), 499-51.

[PShn] Pontryagin and Shnirelman, Annals of Math. 33 (1932), 156-162.

[ShT1] V.Shulman and L.Turowska, Operator synthesis I. Synthetic sets, bilattices and tensor algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 209 (2004), no. 2, 293–331.

[ShT2] V.Shulman and L.Turowska, Operator synthesis II. Individual synthesis and linear operator equations, Preprint 2004:1, Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, Sweden, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.

[1] E.M.Stein and G.Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. x+297 pp.

[V] N.Th.Varopoulos, Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis. Acta Math. **119** (1967), 51–112.

[W] P.L.Walker, Lipschitz classes on 0-dimensional groups, Proc.Cambridge Phil. Soc., 63(1967) 923-928.

Department of Mathematics, Vologda State Liceum of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, Vologda, 160000, Russia
shulman_v@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
turowska@math.chalmers.se